OFFALY COUNTY COUNCIL DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ACT 2000, AS AMENDED

REFERENCE: DEC 21/32

NAME OF APPLICANT: PÁDRAIG AND MARIA KEANE

ADDRESS: RIDGEMOUNT/BALLYWILLIAM, KILCORMAC, BIRR,

CO. OFFALY.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: BALLYWILLIAM,

KILCORMAC,

BIRR,

CO. OFFALY.

NATURE OF APPLICATION: Request for Declaration under Section 5 of the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended as to whether the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m (as granted under PL2/20/132) is or is not development and is or is not exempted development.

LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT: Ridgemount/ Ballywilliam, Kilcormac, Birr, Co. Offaly.

WHEREAS a question referred to Offaly County Council on 15/11/2021 as to whether the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m (as granted under PL2/20/132) at Ringemount/Ballywilliam, Kilcormac, Birr, Co. Offaly is or is not development and is or is not exempted development under the Planning and Development Act 2000, (as amended).

AND WHEREAS the Planning Authority, in considering this declaration request, had regard particularly to-

- (a) Sections 2, 3 and 4(1) h of the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended.
- (b) Articles 6 and 9 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council has concluded that the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m (as egranted under PL2/20/132) is development and is exempted development.

NOW THEREFORE Offaly County Council, in exercise of powers conferred on it by Section 5 (2)(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended hereby decides that the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m (as granted under PL2/20/132) at Ridgemount/Ballywilliam, Kilcormac, Birr, Co. Offaly **is development** and **is exempted development**.

MATTERS CONSIDERED In making its decision, the Planning Authority had regard to those matters to which, by virtue of the Planning and Development Acts and Regulations made thereunder, it was required to have regard. Such matters included any submissions and observations received by it in accordance with statutory provisions.

Administrative Officer

10 12 7021 Date

Note: Any person issued with a Declaration may on payment to An Bord Pleanála, 64 Marlborough Street Dublin 2 of such fees as may be described refer a declaration for review by the board within four weeks of the issuing of the Declaration.

Planning Report - Section 5 Declaration

File Reference:	Dec. 21/32	
Question:	Whether the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m (as granted under PL2/20/132) is or is not development and is or is not exempted development.	
Applicant:	Pádraig and Maria Keane	
Correspondence Address:	ondence Address: Ridgemount / Ballywilliam, Kilcormac, Co. Offaly	
Location:	As above.	

1. Introduction

The question has arisen as to whether the increase in a silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m (as granted under PL2/20/132) is development and if so, exempted development.

2. Background / Site History

Planning ref. 20/132: Pádraig and Maria Keane were granted planning permission on the 9th July 2020 for the construction of a cubicle housing for dairy cattle in existing farmyard including ancillary works (namely unroofed handling and feed areas) and permission for the new construction of a walled silage pit and unroofed slatted tank area and ancillary works (i.e. ancillary concrete yards all for agricultural purposes only).

3. Legislative Context

In order to assess whether or not the proposed works constitute exempted development, regard must be had to the following items of legislation:

Statutory Provisions

Section 2 (1) Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, states as follows:

"works" includes any act or operation of construction, excavation, demolition, extension, alteration, repair or renewal and, in relation to a protected structure or proposed protected structure, includes any act or operation involving the application or removal of plaster, paint, wallpaper, tiles or other material to or from the surfaces of the interior or exterior of a structure.

Section 3 (1) Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, defines development.

"development" means, except where the context otherwise requires, the carrying out of any works on, in, over or under land or the making of any material change in the use of any structures or other land.

Section 4 - Exempted Development

Section 4 (1) (a) - (I) sets out what is exempted development for the purposes of this Act including:

(h) development consisting of the carrying out of works for the maintenance, improvement or other alteration of any structure, being works which affect only the interior of the structure and which do not materially affect the external appearance of the structure so as to render the appearance inconsistent with the character of the structure or neighbouring structures. Section 4 (2) (a) - The Minister may by regulations provide for any class of development to be exempted development for the purposes of this Act where he or she is of the opinion that -

(i) by reason of the size, nature or limited effect on its surroundings, of development belonging to that class, the carrying out of such development would not offend against principles of proper planning and sustainable development.

Regulatory Provisions

Article 6 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) states, *inter alia*, that:

"Subject to Article 9, development of a class specified in Column 1 of Part 1 of Schedule 2 shall be exempted development for the purposes of the Act, provided that such development complies with the conditions and limitations specified in Column 2 of the said Part 1 opposite the mention of that class in the said Column 1".

Article 9 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended), identifies restrictions on exemption.

- 9 (1): Development to which article 6 relates shall not be exempted development for the purposes of the Act (a) if the carrying out of such development would --
- (i) contravene a condition attached to a permission under the Act or be inconsistent with any use specified in a permission under the Act.

Grant of Planning Permission

Grant of planning permission (ref. 20/132) issued on the 19th August 2020, Condition No. 1 states:

The development shall be retained and carried out (as appropriate) in accordance with the plans and particulars submitted to the Planning Authority on the 9th April 2020, except where altered or amended by conditions in this permission.

Reason: To define the scope of permission, in the interest of orderly development.

4. Proposal by Applicants

In an email to the Area Planner on the 12th November 2021, the Applicant advised the following:

The primary reason for this advice is on health and safety grounds. The machinery associated with silage harvesting has increased significantly in recent years. In particular, the heavy duty articulated loaders require substantial turning radius. The existing planning permission provides 21.35m as the overall width of the silage slab. This is approximately 70 feet or 35 feet per silo. The current advice is to allow 40 feet width per silo, to allow for adequate space for a large articulated loader to turn. Consequently, we require an additional 5 feet per silo. I apologise for not finding this out before submitting planning permission. In summary, I am asking for permission to increase the overall width of the proposed silage slab from 21.35m by 3.03m to 24.38m.

5. Evaluation

Question: Whether the increase in wall height is development and if so, is it exempted development?

In considering the works against the definitions of 'development' and 'works' as provided in the Act, it is the view of the Planning Authority that the works are deemed as development.

Question: Is this proposal considered as Exempted Development?

In considering the change to the design of the silage slab and apron, the Planning Authority conclude that the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m by 3.03m to 24.38m would not result in a material alteration to the design. The external perimeter of the silage slab will not increase but rather the silage slab will be extended inwards towards the existing farmyard and associated buildings. It is the opinion of the Planning Authority that the works are exempted development.

6. Conclusion

It is recommended that the development as described in the application is development and is exempted development.

Declaration on Development and Exempted Development

Section 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended)

WHEREAS a question has arisen as to whether or not the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m (as granted under PL2/20/132) to 24.4m is or is not development and, where it is development, whether or not it is or is not exempted development at Ridgemount/Ballywilliam, Kilcormac, Co. Offaly.

AND WHEREAS Pádraig and Maria Keane requested a declaration on the said question from Offaly County Council;

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council, in considering this declaration request, had regard particularly to -

- (a) Sections 2, 3 and 4(1) h of the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended.
- (b) Articles 6 and 9 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council has concluded that -

The increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m over and above what was granted under planning permission ref. PL2/20/132 is development and is exempted development.

NOW THEREFORE Offaly County Council, in exercise of the powers conferred on it by Section 5 (2) of the Planning and Development Act, as amended, hereby decides;

The increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m over and above what was granted under planning permission ref. PL2/20/132 at Ridgemount/Ballywilliam, Kilcormac, Co. Offaly is development and is exempted development.

Úna McCafferkey Assistant Planner 2nd December 2021

Carroll Melia

(A/Senior Executive Planner)

Coppell Melia

10nd December 2021

Date

APPENDIX A

APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SCREENING REPORT FOR PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Screening is used to determine if an AA is necessary by examining:

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY004160.pdf

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY000412.pdf
SITE NAME: CLONASLEE ESKERS AND DERRY BOG SAC, SITE CODE: 000859
https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY000859.pdf

SITE NAME: SLIEVE BLOOM MOUNTAINS SAC, SITE CODE: 000412

- If the plan / project is directly connected with / necessary to the management of the European site.
- If the effects will be significant on a European site in view of its conservation objectives, either alone / in combination with other plans / projects.



Planning Authority: OCC

Planning Application Ref. No: DEC 21-32

(A) DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND	LOCAL SITE:							No.		
Proposed development:	Whether the increase in the silage slab from 21.35m to 24.4m (as granted under PL2/20/132) is or is not development and is or is not exempted development.									
Site location:	Ridgemount / Ballywilliam, Kilcormac, Co. Offaly									
Site size:	1.185ha Floor Area of Proposed Development: N/A									
Identification of nearby European Site(s):	Clonaslee Eskers and Derry Bog SAC – 6.27km Slieve Bloom Mountains SPA – 7.81 km Slieve Bloom Mountains SAC – 10.31km									
Distance to European Site(s):	As above – all as crow flies									
The characteristics of existing, proposed or other approved plans / projects which may cause interactive / cumulative impacts with the project being assessed and which may affect the European site:	None									
Is the application accompanied by an EIAR?	Yes: □ No: X									
(B) IDENTIFICATION OF THE RELEVANT EUROPEAN SITE(S):										
The reasons for the designation of th	e European site(s):									
Clonaslee Eskers and Derry Bog SAC - – Features of interest include: Alkaline fens [7230] Vertigo geyeri (Geyer's Whorl Snail) [1013] Slieve Bloom Mountains SPA – Features of interest include: Hen Harrier (Circus cyaneus) [A082] Slieve Bloom Mountains SAC – Features of interest include: Northern Atlantic wet heaths with Erica tetralix [4010] Blanket bogs (* if active bog) [7130] Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) [91E0]										
The conservation objectives / qualify (which are taken from the European www.npws.ie) (ATTACH INFO.) SITE NAME: SLIEVE BLOOM MOUN	ing interests of the site synopses and, i	site and the factor if applicable, a Cor	rs that contributes to th	ne c	onservati	on valu	e of th	e site:		

(C) NPWS ADVICE:

Advice rece phone:	ived from NPWS over	None Received					
Summary of NPWS in wr (ATTACH SA		None Received					
(D) ASSESSI	MENT OF LIKELY SIGNIFIC	CANT EFFECTS:					
	(The purp		re effect(s) identified could be significant ne effect(s) are significant).				
	er is 'yes' to any of the qu ify your answer. 'Yes' / 'N	restions below, then the effort o' alone is insufficient)	ect is significant.				
Would there be any impact on an Annex 1 habitat? (Annex 1 habitats are listed in Appendix 1 of AA Guidance).			Not likely due to the location and type of development. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.				
a reduction in habitat area on a European site?			There will be no reduction in the habitat area. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.				
direct / indirect damage to the physical quality of the environment (e.g. water quality and supply, soil compaction) in the European site?			Not likely due to the location and type of development The site is sufficient distance from the European site.				
serious / ongoing disturbance to species / habitats for which the European site is selected (e.g. because of increased noise, illumination and human activity)?			Not likely due to the location and type of development The site is sufficient distance from the European site.				
direct / indirect damage to the size, characteristics or reproductive ability of populations on the European site?			None likely due to the location and type of development. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.				
place for effects wi proposed and adjace	other plans / projects. [Lith completed, approved plans / projects. Look attent to European sites and	but not completed, and	No other plans known of in the vicinity of the site. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.				
(E) SCREENI	ING CONCLUSION:						
Screening c	an result in:						
1.	AA is not required because the project is directly connected with / necessary to the nature conservation management of the site.						
2.	No potential for signifi	cant effects / AA is not requ	ired.				
3.	Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate.						
Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above?		category Category 2					
Justify why above:	it falls into relevant cates	proposed deve	be no likely significant impact on the European site from the elopment due to the scale of the proposed development and the tance between the subject site and European Site.				
Name:	Úna McCaff	erkey Um Mc Caffeke	4				

. . .