OFFALY COUNTY COUNCIL

DECLARATION UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT ACT 2000, AS AMENDED

REFERENCE: DEC 24/98

NAME OF APPLICANT:

Benny & Lesley Beale,

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

C/O Matt Mannion,

Lios na Lon, Monafin, Nenagh,

Co. Tipperary, E45 XP71.

NATURE OF APPLICATION: request for declaration under section 5 of the planning & development act 2000, as amended as to whether the proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is or is not development and if so, are they exempted development.

LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT:

Barrack Street, Crinkle, Birr, Co. Offaly, R42 FH93.

WHEREAS a question has arisen as to whether the proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is or is not development and if so, are they exempted development at Barrack Street, Crinkle, Birr, Co. Offaly, R42 FH93.

AS INDICATED on the particulars received by the Planning Authority on the 12th September 2024.

AND WHEREAS Benny & Lesley Beale c/o Matt Mannion, Lios na Lon, Monafin, Nenagh, Co. Tipperary, E45 XP71, has requested a declaration on the said question from Offaly County Council;

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council, in considering this declaration request, had regard particularly to:

(a) Section 2, 3(1) and 4(1)(h) of the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council has concluded that the proposed works is development and is exempted development.

NOW THEREFORE Offaly County Council, in exercise of the powers conferred on it by Section 5(2)(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), hereby decides that:

• The proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is development and is exempted development at Barrack Street, Crinkle, Birr, Co. Offaly, R42 FH93.

MATTERS CONSIDERED In making its decision, the Planning Authority had regard to those matters to which, by virtue of the Planning and Development Acts and regulations made thereunder, it was required to have regard. Such matters included any submissions and observations received by it in accordance with statutory provisions.

Administrative Officer

Date

Note: Any person issued with a Declaration may on payment to An Bord Pleanála, 64 Marlborough Street Dublin 2 of such fees as may be described refer a declaration for review by the board within four weeks of the issuing of the Declaration.

Planning Report

Section 5 Declaration

| File Reference: | Dec. 24/98 | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Question: | Whether the proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is or is not development and if so, are they exempted development. | | | |
| Applicant: | Benny & Lesley Beale | | | |
| Correspondence Address: | ence Address: c/o Matt Mannion, Lios na Lon, Monafin, Nenagh, Co. Tipperary, E45 XP71. | | | |
| Location: | Barrack Street, Crinkle, Birr, Co. Offaly, R42 FH93 | | | |

1. Introduction

The question has arisen as whether the proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is or is not development and if so, are they exempted development.

2. Background

The double storey terraced dwelling is located within Crinkle Village. Along on the public footpath to the front of the dwelling is a protected structure – RPS ref. 53-004 (cast iron water hydrant).

The Area Planner visited the site in October 2024.

3. Site History

On Site - No recent planning history associated with the subject site.

Enforcement – No recent history associated with the subject site.

Adjoining Lands - No recent planning history associated with the adjoining lands.



Figure 1 - Subject Site Location (black dot).



Photo 1 - Subject Site.



Photo 2 - Subject Site.

4. Legislative Context

In order to assess whether or not the proposed works constitute exempted development, regard must be had to the following items of legislation:

Statutory Provisions

Section 2 (1) Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, states as follows:

"house" means a building or part of a building which is being or has been occupied as a dwelling or was provided for use as a dwelling but has not been occupied, and where appropriate, includes a building which was designed for use as 2 or more dwellings or a flat, an apartment or other dwelling within such a building;

"works" includes any act or operation of construction, excavation, demolition, extension, alteration, repair or renewal and, in relation to a protected structure or proposed protected structure, includes any act or operation involving the application or removal of plaster, paint, wallpaper, tiles or other material to or from the surfaces of the interior or exterior of a structure.

Section 3 (1) Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, defines development.

"development" means, except where the context otherwise requires, the carrying out of any works on, in, over or under land or the making of any material change in the use of any structures or other land.

Section 4 - Exempted Development

Section 4 (1) (a) – (I) sets out what is exempted development for the purposes of this Act including:

(h) development consisting of the carrying out of works for the maintenance, improvement or other alteration of any structure, being works which affect only the interior of the structure and which do not materially affect the external appearance of the structure so as to render the appearance inconsistent with the character of the structure or neighbouring structures.

Section 4 (2) (a) - The Minister may by regulations provide for any class of development to be exempted development for the purposes of this Act where he or she is of the opinion that -

(i) by reason of the size, nature or limited effect on its surroundings, of development belonging to that class, the carrying out of such development would not offend against principles of proper planning and sustainable development.

Regulatory Provisions

Article 6 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) states, inter alia, that:

"Subject to Article 9, development of a class specified in Column 1 of Part 1 of Schedule 2 shall be exempted development for the purposes of the Act, provided that such development complies with the conditions and limitations specified in Column 2 of the said Part 1 opposite the mention of that class in the said Column 1".

5. Proposal by Applicants

The Applicant has submitted plans and elevations outline the following works which are proposed:

External

- Remove the existing roof including slates, roof timbers, etc.
- Reconstruct the roof to the design and height of the original roof.
- Fit new Velux window at location of original roof light.

EXISTING GROUND FLOOR PLAN

Demolish and remove all internal walls, doors, architrave and skirting at Ground Floor and First Floor Levels.

Remove existing electrical and plumbing. Remove the existing stairs and the floor timbers at First Floor Level, including floor boards and joists.

Excavate and remove the ground floors and make good for new concrete floors.

PROPOSED GROUND FLOOR PLAN

Ground Floors: Solid Concrete:
65mm screed finished floor on
150mm Aerofloor polystyrene insulation with
25mm perimeter insulation vertically to all
rising walls on anti - radon membrane turned
up at extremities on 150mm subfloor on
25mm sand blinding on 150mm well
compacted hardcore.

6. Evaluation

Question: Whether the proposed works (as listed) to the existing dwelling are development and if so, are they exempted development?

In considering the proposed works against the definitions of 'development' and 'works' as provided in the Act, it is the view of the Planning Authority that the proposed works are deemed as development as it includes refurbishments to the existing dwelling.

Question: Is this proposal considered as Exempted Development?

With regard to the proposed works which are described in the submitted documents, the Planning Authority are satisfied that the proposed works would not result in a material alteration to the appearance of the dwelling and would not be inconsistent with the character of area.

7. Appropriate Assessment

A screening exercise for an appropriate assessment has been carried out and it has been concluded that the existing development is unlikely to have significant effects on any European sites. Please see attached report under Appendix A.

8. Environmental Impact Assessment Screening

The proposed development does not fall within a class of development set out in Part 1 or Part 2 of Schedule 5 of the *Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended)* and therefore is not subject to EIA requirements.

9. Conclusion

It is recommended that the Applicant be advised that the proposed development is **development** and is **exempted development**.

Declaration on Development and Exempted Development

Section 5 of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended)

WHEREAS a question has arisen as to whether the proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is or is not development and if so, are they exempted development at Barrack Street, Crinkle, Birr, Co. Offaly, R42 FH93.

AS INDICATED on the particulars received by the Planning Authority on the 12th September 2024.

AND WHEREAS Benny & Lesley Beale c/o Matt Mannion, Lios na Lon, Monafin, Nenagh, Co. Tipperary, E45 XP71 has requested a declaration on the said question from Offaly County Council;

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council, in considering this declaration request, had regard particularly to:

(a) Section 2, 3(1) and 4(1)(h) of the Planning & Development Act 2000, as amended.

AND WHEREAS Offaly County Council has concluded that the proposed works is development and is exempted development.

NOW THEREFORE Offaly County Council, in exercise of the powers conferred on it by Section 5(2)(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), hereby decides that:

 The proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is development and is exempted development at Barrack Street, Crinkle, Birr, Co. Offaly, R42 FH93.

Please note that any person issued with a declaration under subsection 2(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) may on payment to the Board of the prescribed fee, refer a declaration to An Bord Pleanála within 4 weeks of the issuing of the decision.

Úna McCafferkey

Executive Planner

7th October 2024

Date

Ed telk

Ed Kelly

(A/Senior Executive Planner)

8th October 2024

Date

APPENDIX A

APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SCREENING REPORT FOR PLANNING APPLICATIONS



Screening is used to determine if an AA is necessary by examining:

- If the plan / project is directly connected with / necessary to the management of the European site.
- If the effects will be significant on a European site in view of its conservation objectives, either alone / in combination with other plans / projects.

Planning Authority: OCC

Planning Application Ref. No: DEC 24/98

| | 201 1 | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--|-------|--|
| Proposed development: | Whether the proposed renovation of the dwelling house including restoration of roof to dwelling to match design and height of existing roof is or is not development and if so, are they exempted development. | | | | |
| Site location: | Barrack Street, Crinkle, Birr, Co. Offaly, R42 FH93 | | | | |
| Site size: | N/A Floor Area of Proposed Development: N/A | | | | |
| Identification of nearby European Site(s): | Lisduff Fen SAC – 2.53km Sharavogue Bog SAC – 3.84km Dovegrove Callows SPA – 4.49km Island Fen SAC – 5.06km Ballyduff/Clonfinane Bog SAC – 5.71km | | | | |
| Distance to European Site(s): | As above – all as | row flies | | | |
| The characteristics of existing, proposed or other approved plans / projects which may cause interactive / cumulative impacts with the project being assessed and which may affect the European site: | None | | | | |
| Is the application accompanied by an EIAR? | | | | No: X | |
| (B) IDENTIFICATION OF THE RELEVAN | NT EUROPEAN SITE | (S): | | | |
| The reasons for the designation of the | e European site(s): | | | | |
| Lisduff Fen SAC – Features of interest Petrifying springs with tufa formation Alkaline fens [7230] Vertigo geyeri (Geyer's Whorl Snail) [2 Sharavogue Bog SAC - Features of int Active raised bogs [7110] Degraded raised bogs still capable of Depressions on peat substrates of the Dovegrove Callows SPA - Features of Greenland White-fronted Goose (Ansolsland Fen SAC - Features of interest Juniperus communis formations on healkaline fens [7230] | (Cratoneurion) [72 1013] terest include: natural regeneratio Rhynchosporion [7 interest include: er albifrons flaviros include: | n [7120] 7150] tris) grasslands [5130 | | | |

The conservation objectives / qualifying interests of the site and the factors that contributes to the conservation value of the site: (which are taken from the European site synopses and, if applicable, a Conservation Management Plan; all available on www.npws.ie) (ATTACH INFO.)

Site Name: Lisduff Fen SAC, Site Code: 002147

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY002147.pdf

Site Name: Sharavogue Bog SAC, Site Code: 000585

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY000585.pdf

Site Name: Dovegrove Callows SPA, Site Code: 004137

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY004137.pdf

Site Name: Island Fen SAC, Site Code: 002236

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY002236.pdf

Site Name: Ballyduff/Clonfinane Bog SAC, Site Code: 000641

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/protected-sites/synopsis/SY000641.pdf

(C) NPWS ADVICE:

Advice received from NPWS over phone:

None Received

Summary of advice received from NPWS in written form (ATTACH SAME):

None Received

(D) ASSESSMENT OF LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS:

(The purpose of this is to identify if the effect(s) identified could be significant – if uncertain assume the effect(s) are significant).

If the answer is 'yes' to any of the questions below, then the effect is significant. (Please justify your answer. 'Yes' / 'No' alone is insufficient)

| Would then | e be |
|------------|------|
|------------|------|

... any impact on an Annex 1 habitat?
(Annex 1 habitats are listed in Appendix 1 of AA Guidance).

Not likely due to the location and type of development. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.

... a reduction in habitat area on a European site?

There will be no reduction in the habitat area.

The site is sufficient distance from the European site.

... direct / indirect damage to the physical quality of the environment (e.g. water quality and supply, soil compaction) in the European site?

Not likely due to the location and type of development The site is sufficient distance from the European site.

... serious / ongoing disturbance to species / habitats for which the European site is selected (e.g. because of increased noise, illumination and human activity)?

Not likely due to the location and type of development The site is sufficient distance from the European site.

... direct / indirect damage to the size, characteristics or reproductive ability of populations on the European site?

None likely due to the location and type of development. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.

Would the project interfere with mitigation measures put in place for other plans / projects. [Look at *in-combination effects* with completed, approved but not completed, and proposed plans / projects. Look at projects / plans within and adjacent to European sites and identify them]. Simply stating that there are no cumulative impacts' is insufficient.

No other plans known of in the vicinity of the site. The site is sufficient distance from the European site.

| (E) SCREENI | NG CONCLUSION: | | | Service State of the Service of the | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Screening co | an result in: | | | | | | |
| 1. | AA is not required because the project is directly connected with / necessary to the nature conservation management of the site. | | | | | | |
| 2. | No potential for significant effect | ential for significant effects / AA is not required. | | | | | |
| 3. | | inificant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the plicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. | | | | | |
| Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? | | Category 2 | | | | | |
| Justify why it falls into relevant category above: | | There would be no likely significant impact on the European site from the proposed development due to the scale of the proposed development and the separation distance between the subject site and European Site. | | | | | |
| Name: | Úna McCafferkey | n Mc Callekey | | | | | |
| Position: | Executive Planner | | Date: | 7 th October 2024 | | | |