

APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SCREENING REPORT

for

10 No. Social Housing Units at Circular Road, Daingean, Co. Offaly



APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT SCREENING REPORT – SOCIAL HOUSING (Exempted from 179A process)



(A) DESCRIPTION OF F	PROJECT AND LO	CAL SITE:			
	The demolition of the existing dwelling and the construction of 10 No. Housing Units at Beechwood Court, Circular Road, Daingean, County Offaly.				
Proposed development:	The proposed development consists of the construction of 1 No. Single-storey 4-bedroom unit and 9 No. The construction of access and internal circulation roads. All internal access roadways, Public Lighting, Foul Sewers, Surface Water Sewers, Watermain's and all associated ancillary site development works.				
Site location:	Circular Road, Daingean, County Offaly				
Site size:	0.27 ha Floor Area of Proposed Development: 692 m ²				
Identification of nearby Natura 2000 Site(s):	Charleville Wood SAC (000571) River Barrow and River Nore SAC (002162) Raheenmore Bog SAC (000582) Split Hills and Long Hill Esker SAC (001831)				
Distance to Natura 2000 Site(s):	Charleville Wood SAC (000571) - 15 KM River Barrow and River Nore SAC (002162) - 15.74 KM Raheenmore Bog SAC (000582) - 5.4 KM Split Hills and Long Hill Esker (SAC) - 12 KM				
The characteristics of existing, proposed or other approved plans / projects which may cause interactive / cumulative impacts with the project being assessed and which may affect the Natura 2000 site:	None				
Is the application accompanied by an EIS?	Yes: □		No: X		

(B) IDENTIFICATION OF THE RELEVANT NATURA 2000 SITE(S):

The reasons for the designation of the Natura 2000 site(s):

Charleville Wood SAC (000571) - Old Oak Woodlands, Desmoulin's Whorl Snail.

River Barrow and River Nore SAC (002162) - Estuaries, Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats, *Salicornia* Mud, Atlantic Salt Meadows, Mediterranean Salt Meadows, Floating River Vegetation, Dry Heath, Hydrophilous Tall Herb Communities, Petrifying Springs,

Old Oak Woodlands, Alluvial Forests, Desmoulin's Whorl Snail, Freshwater Pearl Mussel, White-clawed Crayfish, Sea Lamprey, Brook Lamprey, River Lamprey, Twaite Shad, Atlantic Salmon, Otter, Killarney Fern, Nore Freshwater Pearl Mussel.

Raheenmore Bog SAC (000582) - Raised Bog, Degraded Raised Bog, Rhynchosporion Vegetation.

Split Hills and Long Hill Esker SAC (001831) - Orchard-rich Calcareous Grassland.

The conservation objectives / qualifying interests of the site and the factors that contributes to the conservation value of the site: (which are taken from the Natura 2000 site synopses and, if applicable, a Conservation Management Plan; all available on www.npws.ie) (ATTACH INFO.)

To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the Annex I habitat and/or the Annex II species

Advice received from NPWS over phone: Summary of advice received from NPWS in written form (ATTACH SAME): No

(D) ASSESSMENT OF LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS: (The purpose of this is to identify if the effect(s) identified could be significant - if uncertain assume the effect(s) are significant). If the answer is 'ves' to any of the questions below, then the effect is significant. (Please justify your answer. 'Yes' / 'No' alone is insufficient) Would there be... No - The proposed development is not located within ... any impact on an Annex 1 habitat? an SPA or SAC. The closest Natura 2000 site is the Raheenmore Bog SAC (000582) approximately 5.4 KM (Annex 1 habitats are listed in Appendix 1 of to the North West of the proposed development. AA Guidance). No - The proposed development is not located within a ... a reduction in habitat area on a SPA or SAC. There will be no reduction of the habitat Natura 2000 site? area due to the proposed scheme.

from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around	quality of the environment (e.g. water quality							
habitats for which the Natura 2000 site is selected (e.g. because of increased noise, illumination and human activity)? direct / indirect damage to the size, characteristics or reproductive ability of populations on the Natura 2000 site? Would the project interfere with mitigation measures put in place for other plans / projects. [Look at <i>in-combination effects</i> with completed, approved but not completed, and proposed plans / projects. Look at projects / plans within and adjacent to Natura 2000 sites and identify them]. Simply stating that there are no cumulative impacts' is insufficient. (E) SCREENING CONCLUSION: Screening can result in: 1. AA is not required because the project is directly connected with/necessary to the nature conservation management of the site. 2. No potential for significant effects - AA is not required. 3. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the		No- as abo	No- as above					
characteristics or reproductive ability of populations on the Natura 2000 site? Would the project interfere with mitigation measures put in place for other plans / projects. [Look at in-combination effects with completed, approved but not completed, and proposed plans / projects. Look at projects / plans within and adjacent to Natura 2000 sites and identify them]. Simply stating that there are no cumulative impacts' is insufficient. (E) SCREENING CONCLUSION: Screening can result in: 1. AA is not required because the project is directly connected with/necessary to the nature conservation management of the site. 2. No potential for significant effects - AA is not required. 3. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the	habitats for which the Natura 2000 site is selected (e.g. because of increased noise,	No- as abo	No- as above					
measures put in place for other plans / projects. [Look at <i>in-combination effects</i> with completed, approved but not completed, and proposed plans / projects. Look at projects / plans within and adjacent to Natura 2000 sites and identify them]. Simply stating that there are no cumulative impacts' is insufficient. (E) SCREENING CONCLUSION: Screening can result in: 1. AA is not required because the project is directly connected with/necessary to the nature conservation management of the site. 2. No potential for significant effects - AA is not required. 3. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the	characteristics or reproductive ability of No-as above							
Screening can result in: 1. AA is not required because the project is directly connected with/necessary to the nature conservation management of the site. 2. No potential for significant effects - AA is not required. 3. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the	measures put in place for other plans / projects. [Look at <i>in-combination effects</i> with completed, approved but not completed, and proposed plans / projects. Look at projects / plans within and adjacent to Natura 2000 sites and identify them]. Simply stating that there	No-as abov	No-as above					
1. AA is not required because the project is directly connected with/necessary to the nature conservation management of the site. 2. No potential for significant effects - AA is not required. 3. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the	(E) SCREENING CONCLUSION:							
 conservation management of the site. No potential for significant effects - AA is not required. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the 	Screening can result in:							
3. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the								
from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate. Therefore, does the project fall into category 1, 2 or 3 above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the	2. No potential for significant effects - AA is no	-						
above? Justify why it falls into relevant category above: Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the	3. Significant effects are certain, likely or uncertain. (In this situation seek a Natura Impact Statement from the applicant, or reject the project. Reject if too potentially damaging / inappropriate.							
Proposed Housing Development is not located within SPA or SAC. Works will take place on and around Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the		2 or 3	2					
Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the	Justify why it falls into relevant category above:							
	Agricultural Fields, Domestic Dwellings and on the Public Road. It is considered due to the distance of the proposed development from the SAC (5.4km) that there would be unlikely significant affects to the							
Name: Noelle Mc Ginley								
Position: Executive Architect Date: 15 th December 2023	Name: Noelle Mc Ginley							

